IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MARSHALL DIVISION

HEADWATER RESEARCH LLC,

Plaintiff,

Case No. 2:23-cv-00352-JRG-RSP

v.

CELLO PARTNERSHIP d/b/a VERIZON WIRELESS and VERIZON CORPORATE SERVICES GROUP, INC.,

Defendants.

JURY DEMANDED

DEFENDANTS' REPLY IN SUPPORT OF THEIR MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON THE PLEADINGS UNDER RULE 12(C) THAT THE ASSERTED CLAIMS ARE INVALID UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 101

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Pag	e(s)
ases	
oncak v. Deutsche Bank Nat'l Tr. Co. as Tr. for GSAMP Tr. 2006-FM2, Mortg. Pass-Through Certificates, Series 2006-FM2, No. 3:19-CV-0071-B-BT, 2020 WL 13505361 (N.D. Tex. Feb. 3, 2020)	1
ringer v. Davis Mountain Prop. Owners Ass'n, 81 F. App'x 502 (5th Cir. 2003)	1
atutes	
U.S.C. § 101	1
ther Authorities	
d. R. Civ. P. 12(h)(2)	1

Nothing in Headwater's Opposition (Dkt. 217, the "Opposition") undermines the conclusion that the asserted claims of the '541 (claims 79 and 83) and the '613 (claims 1, 12, 15, 16, and 18) (the "Asserted Claims") are ineligible under 35 U.S.C. § 101. As set forth in the opening motion (*see* Dkt. No. 190, the "Motion"), the Asserted Claims recite the longstanding process of selectively managing communications by: (1) determining a user-configurable policy to apply to received communications, (2) assessing a communication, and (3) applying the policy to the communication. Headwater's attempt to characterize the invention as "improving the function" of "wireless end-user devices" is belied by the claims themselves, which recite only abstract steps applied to generic computer components.

Plaintiff's arguments that the 12(c) motion for judgment on the pleadings is procedurally defective are meritless. See Opp. at 20-21. Plaintiff cites no cases in support. The Motion poses no delay to trial because it was filed by the dispositive motion deadline. Koncak v. Deutsche Bank Nat'l Tr. Co. as Tr. for GSAMP Tr. 2006-FM2, Mortg. Pass-Through Certificates, Series 2006-FM2, No. 3:19-CV-0071-B-BT, 2020 WL 13505361, at *2 (N.D. Tex. Feb. 3, 2020) (finding 12(c) motion timely where it was filed before dispositive-motion deadline and three months before trial). Whether the Asserted Claims are directed to patent ineligible subject matter is a failure to state a claim defense which, under FRCP 12(h)(2), may be raised as late as trial. Moreover, the Motion relies only on the factual allegations in the complaint and therefore, there is no basis to convert the Motion into one for summary judgment. Stringer v. Davis Mountain Prop. Owners Ass'n, 81 F. App'x 502 (5th Cir. 2003).

Defendants therefore respectfully move for judgment on the pleadings that the Asserted Claims are invalid.

Dated: April 24, 2025

By: /s/ Celine Crowson

Katherine Q. Dominguez kdominguez@gibsondunn.com

Josh A. Krevitt

jkrevitt@gibsondunn.com

Brian A. Rosenthal

brosenthal@gibsondunn.com

GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP

200 Park Avenue

New York, NY 10166-0193 Telephone: 212.351.4000 Facsimile: 212.351.4035

Robert Vincent

rvincent@gibsondunn.com

GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP

2001 Ross Avenue, Suite 2100

Dallas, TX 75201

Telephone: 214.698.3112 Facsimile: 214.571.2910

Andrew W. Robb (CA Bar No. 291438)

arobb@gibsondunn.com

GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP

310 University Avenue Palo Alto, CA 94301 Telephone: 650.849.5334 Facsimile: 650.849.5034

Michelle Zhu

mzhu@gibsondunn.com

GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP

1700 M Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036 Telephone: 202.777.9413

Fax: 202.831.6063

Celine Crowson (D.C. Bar No. 0436549A)

Hogan Lovells 555 13th St NW,

Washington, D.C. 20004

Telephone: 202-637-5600

celine.crowson@hoganlovells.com

Tej Singh (California Bar No. 286547)

Yi Zhang (California Bar No. 342823) Kyle Xu (California Bar No. 344100) **Hogan Lovells** 4 Embarcadero Center Suite 3500 San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: 415-374-2300 tej.singh@hoganlovells.com yi.zhang@hoganlovells.com kyle.xu@hoganlovells.com

Deron R. Dacus (TX Bar No. 00790553) **THE DACUS FIRM, P.C.**821 ESE Loop 323, Suite 430
Tyler, Texas 75701
Telephone: (903) 705-1117
Email: ddacus@dacusfirm.com

Attorneys for Defendants

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing document has been served on all counsel of record via the Court's ECF system on April 24, 2025.

/s/ Celine Crowson

Celine Crowson